REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-48 and 58-61 are pending in the application. Claims 1-48 and 58-61 have been

rejected. No new matter has been introduced into the application. As explained in more detail

below, Applicant submit that all claims are in condition for allowance and respectfully request

such action.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-48 and 58-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Tracton, et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,470,378) in view of del Val, et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,128,653) and

Hallberg (U.S. Pat. No. 6,658,199).

The Office Action asserts *Tracton* discloses a method for receiving at a wireless terminal

a first data stream from a motion video server via a wireless interface at a first bit rate, the first

data stream comprising a motion video having initial presentation characteristics, and the

wireless terminal comprising a video display. The Office Action further asserts that del Val

discloses transmitting to the motion video server via the wireless interface a first display control

command to alter presentation characteristics of the motion video and to alter the bit rate of the

first data stream over the wireless interface, the first display control command having been

entered by a user at the wireless terminal subsequent to the step of commencing display of the

received motion video having initial presentation characteristics. Before addressing the tertiary

reference, the Office Action sets forth that "it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art

at the time of the invention to modify Tract[]on to utilize HTTP video manipulation commands

of del Val thereby enabling a user to re-watch part of an MPEG segment they were interested in."

Office Action dated October 19, 2005; page 3).

Page 26 of 28

Response/Amendment dated July 5, 2006

Response to Office Action dated April 5, 2006

The Office Action recognizes that *Tracton* "is silent regarding any functionality, which

lets a user navigate a video stream, adjust presentation characteristics and bit rates." Office

Action dated April 5, 2006, page 2. Therefore, combining the HTTP video manipulation

commands of del Val which allow a user to re-watch part of an MPEG segment they were

interested in with the automatic determination of data-streams of Tracton does not produce the

subject matter of the rejected claims, even when considered in further view of the tertiary

reference, Hallberg.

Hallberg, like Tracton appears to be directed towards altering a video stream before the

viewer receives the stream. As discussed in the previous Response, Hallberg discloses a method

for creating a transport stream that conforms to the limits of a MPEG digital video system using

a digital video recorder. (Hallberg, Column 1, lines 7 - 10; and Abstract). Applying the

teachings of Hallberg with del Val and Tracton does not produce the subject matter of the

Nonetheless, to expedite prosecution, the Applicant has concurrently present invention.

submitted a Declaration under 37 C.F.R. §1.131 that comprises facts and evidence demonstrating

conception coupled with diligence from before September 21, 1999 (21.09.1999) until the filing

of the above-captioned patent application on December 28, 1999. Because September 21, 1999

is before the effective date of Hallberg, the Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and

withdrawal of the rejection.

Page 27 of 28

Appln. No.: 09/473,356

Response/Amendment dated July 5, 2006

Response to Office Action dated April 5, 2006

CONCLUSION

It is believed that no fee is required for this submission. If any fees are required or if an overpayment is made, the Commissioner is authorized to debit or credit our Deposit Account No. 19-0733, accordingly.

All rejections having been addressed, applicant respectfully submits that the instant application is in condition for allowance, and respectfully solicits prompt notification of the same. Should the Examiner have any questions, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Dated: July 5, 2006

By:

Shawn P. Gorman Reg. No. 56,197

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. 10 South Wacker Drive Suite 3000 Chicago, IL 60606

Tel: (312) 463-5000 Fax: (312) 463-5001